

# LINEAR ALGEBRA -II

B V Rajarama Bhat

Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore

# References

- ▶ Basic references:

# References

- ▶ Basic references:
- ▶ Linear Algebra, A. Ramachandra Rao and P. Bhimasankaram.

# References

- ▶ Basic references:
- ▶ Linear Algebra, A. Ramachandra Rao and P. Bhimasankaram.
- ▶ Algebra, Michael Artin.

# References

- ▶ Basic references:
- ▶ Linear Algebra, A. Ramachandra Rao and P. Bhimasankaram.
- ▶ Algebra, Michael Artin.
- ▶ Linear Algebra, Henry Helson.

# Lecture 1: Permutations

- ▶ Here is a well-known game, called fifteen puzzle:

# Lecture 1: Permutations

- ▶ Here is a well-known game, called fifteen puzzle:
- ▶ <https://lorecioni.github.io/fifteen-puzzle-game/>

# Lecture 1: Permutations

- ▶ Here is a well-known game, called fifteen puzzle:
- ▶ <https://lorecioni.github.io/fifteen-puzzle-game/>
- ▶ Information on the Wikipedia :  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/15\\_puzzle](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/15_puzzle)



# Lecture 1: Permutations

- ▶ Here is a well-known game, called fifteen puzzle:
- ▶ <https://lorecioni.github.io/fifteen-puzzle-game/>
- ▶ Information on the Wikipedia :  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/15\\_puzzle](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/15_puzzle)
- ▶ Question : Consider the initial configuration as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 13 & 15 & 14 & \end{bmatrix}$$

# Lecture 1: Permutations

- ▶ Here is a well-known game, called fifteen puzzle:
- ▶ <https://lorecioni.github.io/fifteen-puzzle-game/>
- ▶ Information on the Wikipedia :  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/15\\_puzzle](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/15_puzzle)
- ▶ Question : Consider the initial configuration as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 13 & 15 & 14 & \end{bmatrix}$$

- ▶ Can we re-arrange it to the natural order by moves permitted by the game?

# Lecture 1: Permutations

- ▶ Here is a well-known game, called fifteen puzzle:
- ▶ <https://lorecioni.github.io/fifteen-puzzle-game/>
- ▶ Information on the Wikipedia :  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/15\\_puzzle](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/15_puzzle)
- ▶ Question : Consider the initial configuration as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 13 & 15 & 14 & \end{bmatrix}$$

- ▶ Can we re-arrange it to the natural order by moves permitted by the game?
- ▶ Some rich man offered a lot of money for people to come up with a solution.

# Lecture 1: Permutations

- ▶ Here is a well-known game, called fifteen puzzle:
- ▶ <https://lorecioni.github.io/fifteen-puzzle-game/>
- ▶ Information on the Wikipedia :  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/15\\_puzzle](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/15_puzzle)
- ▶ Question : Consider the initial configuration as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 13 & 15 & 14 & \end{bmatrix}$$

- ▶ Can we re-arrange it to the natural order by moves permitted by the game?
- ▶ Some rich man offered a lot of money for people to come up with a solution.
- ▶ But there was no solution!

# Lecture 1: Permutations

- ▶ Here is a well-known game, called fifteen puzzle:
- ▶ <https://lorecioni.github.io/fifteen-puzzle-game/>
- ▶ Information on the Wikipedia :  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/15\\_puzzle](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/15_puzzle)
- ▶ Question : Consider the initial configuration as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 13 & 15 & 14 & \end{bmatrix}$$

- ▶ Can we re-arrange it to the natural order by moves permitted by the game?
- ▶ Some rich man offered a lot of money for people to come up with a solution.
- ▶ But there was no solution!
- ▶ The Rubik's cube is a toy very you see a lot of 'permutations' in action.

# Definition

- **Definition 1.1:** Let  $S$  be a finite set. Then a bijective function  $\sigma : S \rightarrow S$  is said to be a **permutation** of  $S$ .

# Definition

- ▶ **Definition 1.1:** Let  $S$  be a finite set. Then a bijective function  $\sigma : S \rightarrow S$  is said to be a **permutation** of  $S$ .
- ▶ **Example 1.2:** Take  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ .

# Definition

- ▶ **Definition 1.1:** Let  $S$  be a finite set. Then a bijective function  $\sigma : S \rightarrow S$  is said to be a **permutation** of  $S$ .
- ▶ **Example 1.2:** Take  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ .
- ▶ Define  $\sigma_1 : S \rightarrow S$  by

$$\sigma_1(s_j) = \begin{cases} s_{j+1} & \text{if } 1 \leq j < n \\ s_1 & \text{if } j = n. \end{cases}$$



# Definition

- ▶ **Definition 1.1:** Let  $S$  be a finite set. Then a bijective function  $\sigma : S \rightarrow S$  is said to be a **permutation** of  $S$ .
- ▶ **Example 1.2:** Take  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ .
- ▶ Define  $\sigma_1 : S \rightarrow S$  by

$$\sigma_1(s_j) = \begin{cases} s_{j+1} & \text{if } 1 \leq j < n \\ s_1 & \text{if } j = n. \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Assume  $n \geq 3$ . Define  $\sigma_2 : S \rightarrow S$  by

$$\sigma_2(s_j) = \begin{cases} s_3 & \text{if } j = 1 \\ s_1 & \text{if } j = 3 \\ s_j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# Definition

- ▶ **Definition 1.1:** Let  $S$  be a finite set. Then a bijective function  $\sigma : S \rightarrow S$  is said to be a **permutation** of  $S$ .
- ▶ **Example 1.2:** Take  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ .
- ▶ Define  $\sigma_1 : S \rightarrow S$  by

$$\sigma_1(s_j) = \begin{cases} s_{j+1} & \text{if } 1 \leq j < n \\ s_1 & \text{if } j = n. \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Assume  $n \geq 3$ . Define  $\sigma_2 : S \rightarrow S$  by

$$\sigma_2(s_j) = \begin{cases} s_3 & \text{if } j = 1 \\ s_1 & \text{if } j = 3 \\ s_j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Then  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  are permutations.

# Notation

- ▶ One way to display the permutation is to write it down explicitly:

# Notation

- ▶ One way to display the permutation is to write it down explicitly:
- ▶ We may show  $\sigma_1$  above by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & \dots & s_{n-1} & s_n \\ s_2 & s_3 & \dots & s_n & s_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

# Notation

- ▶ One way to display the permutation is to write it down explicitly:
- ▶ We may show  $\sigma_1$  above by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & \dots & s_{n-1} & s_n \\ s_2 & s_3 & \dots & s_n & s_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ Similarly  $\sigma_2$  is displayed as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & s_3 & s_4 & \dots & s_n \\ s_3 & s_2 & s_1 & s_4 & \dots & s_n \end{pmatrix}$$

# Group property

- ▶ **Theorem 1.3:** Let  $S$  be a finite set. Let  $G$  be the collection of all permutations of  $S$ .

# Group property

- ▶ **Theorem 1.3:** Let  $S$  be a finite set. Let  $G$  be the collection of all permutations of  $S$ .
- ▶ If  $\sigma, \tau$  are elements of  $G$ , then  $\sigma \circ \tau$  is also an element of  $G$  and the operation  $\circ$  has the following properties:

# Group property

- ▶ **Theorem 1.3:** Let  $S$  be a finite set. Let  $G$  be the collection of all permutations of  $S$ .
- ▶ If  $\sigma, \tau$  are elements of  $G$ , then  $\sigma \circ \tau$  is also an element of  $G$  and the operation  $\circ$  has the following properties:
- ▶ (i) **Associativity:**  $\sigma_1 \circ (\sigma_2 \circ \sigma_3) = (\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2) \circ \sigma_3$  for all  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$  in  $G$ .



# Group property

- ▶ **Theorem 1.3:** Let  $S$  be a finite set. Let  $G$  be the collection of all permutations of  $S$ .
- ▶ If  $\sigma, \tau$  are elements of  $G$ , then  $\sigma \circ \tau$  is also an element of  $G$  and the operation  $\circ$  has the following properties:
- ▶ (i) **Associativity:**  $\sigma_1 \circ (\sigma_2 \circ \sigma_3) = (\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2) \circ \sigma_3$  for all  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$  in  $G$ .
- ▶ (ii) **Existence of identity:** There exists  $\iota \in G$  such that  $\iota \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \iota = \sigma$  for all  $\sigma \in G$ .

# Group property

- ▶ **Theorem 1.3:** Let  $S$  be a finite set. Let  $G$  be the collection of all permutations of  $S$ .
- ▶ If  $\sigma, \tau$  are elements of  $G$ , then  $\sigma \circ \tau$  is also an element of  $G$  and the operation  $\circ$  has the following properties:
- ▶ (i) **Associativity:**  $\sigma_1 \circ (\sigma_2 \circ \sigma_3) = (\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2) \circ \sigma_3$  for all  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$  in  $G$ .
- ▶ (ii) **Existence of identity:** There exists  $\iota \in G$  such that  $\iota \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \iota = \sigma$  for all  $\sigma \in G$ .
- ▶ (iii) **Existence of inverse:** For  $\sigma \in G$ , there exists  $\sigma^{-1}$  in  $G$  such that  $\sigma^{-1} \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \sigma^{-1} = \iota$ .

# Group property

- ▶ **Theorem 1.3:** Let  $S$  be a finite set. Let  $G$  be the collection of all permutations of  $S$ .
- ▶ If  $\sigma, \tau$  are elements of  $G$ , then  $\sigma \circ \tau$  is also an element of  $G$  and the operation  $\circ$  has the following properties:
- ▶ (i) **Associativity:**  $\sigma_1 \circ (\sigma_2 \circ \sigma_3) = (\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2) \circ \sigma_3$  for all  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$  in  $G$ .
- ▶ (ii) **Existence of identity:** There exists  $\iota \in G$  such that  $\iota \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \iota = \sigma$  for all  $\sigma \in G$ .
- ▶ (iii) **Existence of inverse:** For  $\sigma \in G$ , there exists  $\sigma^{-1}$  in  $G$  such that  $\sigma^{-1} \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \sigma^{-1} = \iota$ .
- ▶ **Proof.** Take  $\iota$  as the identity map and then properties (i) to (iii) should be clear.

- ▶ In the following we always take the finite set  $S$  under consideration as  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- ▶ In the following we always take the finite set  $S$  under consideration as  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ **Example 1.4:** Suppose  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, 7\}$ . Consider the permutation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 3 & 5 & 7 & 4 & 2 & 6 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ In the following we always take the finite set  $S$  under consideration as  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ **Example 1.4:** Suppose  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, 7\}$ . Consider the permutation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 3 & 5 & 7 & 4 & 2 & 6 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ We see  $1 \mapsto 3 \mapsto 7 \mapsto 1$ . This we call as a **cycle**. It is a cycle of **length** 3.

- ▶ In the following we always take the finite set  $S$  under consideration as  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ **Example 1.4:** Suppose  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, 7\}$ . Consider the permutation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 3 & 5 & 7 & 4 & 2 & 6 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ We see  $1 \mapsto 3 \mapsto 7 \mapsto 1$ . This we call as a **cycle**. It is a cycle of **length** 3.
- ▶ This permutation also has  $2 \mapsto 5 \mapsto 2$ , a cycle of length 2.

- ▶ In the following we always take the finite set  $S$  under consideration as  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ **Example 1.4:** Suppose  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, 7\}$ . Consider the permutation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 3 & 5 & 7 & 4 & 2 & 6 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ We see  $1 \mapsto 3 \mapsto 7 \mapsto 1$ . This we call as a **cycle**. It is a cycle of **length** 3.
- ▶ This permutation also has  $2 \mapsto 5 \mapsto 2$ , a cycle of length 2.
- ▶ It also has  $4 \mapsto 4$  and  $6 \mapsto 6$ , cycles of length 1.



- ▶ In the following we always take the finite set  $S$  under consideration as  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ **Example 1.4:** Suppose  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, 7\}$ . Consider the permutation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 3 & 5 & 7 & 4 & 2 & 6 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ We see  $1 \mapsto 3 \mapsto 7 \mapsto 1$ . This we call as a **cycle**. It is a cycle of **length** 3.
- ▶ This permutation also has  $2 \mapsto 5 \mapsto 2$ , a cycle of length 2.
- ▶ It also has  $4 \mapsto 4$  and  $6 \mapsto 6$ , cycles of length 1.
- ▶ For distinct  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r$  in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  (with  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ) we denote the cycle  $k_1 \mapsto k_2 \mapsto \dots \mapsto k_r \mapsto k_1$  simply as  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$ .

# Cycle decomposition of permutations

- ▶ For any permutation  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma^2$  denotes  $\sigma \circ \sigma$  and more generally for any natural number  $r$ ,  $\sigma^r = \sigma \circ \sigma \circ \cdots \circ \sigma$  ( $r$  times).

# Cycle decomposition of permutations

- ▶ For any permutation  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma^2$  denotes  $\sigma \circ \sigma$  and more generally for any natural number  $r$ ,  $\sigma^r = \sigma \circ \sigma \circ \cdots \circ \sigma$  ( $r$  times).
- ▶ **Lemma 1.5:** Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\sigma$  be a permutation of  $S$ . Then for any  $k_1 \in S$ , there exists  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sigma^r(k_1) = k_1$ .

# Cycle decomposition of permutations

- ▶ For any permutation  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma^2$  denotes  $\sigma \circ \sigma$  and more generally for any natural number  $r$ ,  $\sigma^r = \sigma \circ \sigma \circ \cdots \circ \sigma$  ( $r$  times).
- ▶ **Lemma 1.5:** Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\sigma$  be a permutation of  $S$ . Then for any  $k_1 \in S$ , there exists  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sigma^r(k_1) = k_1$ .
- ▶ **Proof.** Take  $k_2 = \sigma(k_1)$ . If  $k_2 = k_1$ , we can take  $r = 1$ , and we are done.

# Cycle decomposition of permutations

- ▶ For any permutation  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma^2$  denotes  $\sigma \circ \sigma$  and more generally for any natural number  $r$ ,  $\sigma^r = \sigma \circ \sigma \circ \cdots \circ \sigma$  ( $r$  times).
- ▶ **Lemma 1.5:** Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\sigma$  be a permutation of  $S$ . Then for any  $k_1 \in S$ , there exists  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sigma^r(k_1) = k_1$ .
- ▶ **Proof.** Take  $k_2 = \sigma(k_1)$ . If  $k_2 = k_1$ , we can take  $r = 1$ , and we are done.
- ▶ If  $k_2 \neq k_1$ , take  $k_3 = \sigma(k_2)$ . If  $k_3 = k_1$ , we can take  $r = 2$  and we are done.

# Cycle decomposition of permutations

- ▶ For any permutation  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma^2$  denotes  $\sigma \circ \sigma$  and more generally for any natural number  $r$ ,  $\sigma^r = \sigma \circ \sigma \circ \cdots \circ \sigma$  ( $r$  times).
- ▶ **Lemma 1.5:** Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\sigma$  be a permutation of  $S$ . Then for any  $k_1 \in S$ , there exists  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sigma^r(k_1) = k_1$ .
- ▶ **Proof.** Take  $k_2 = \sigma(k_1)$ . If  $k_2 = k_1$ , we can take  $r = 1$ , and we are done.
- ▶ If  $k_2 \neq k_1$ , take  $k_3 = \sigma(k_2)$ . If  $k_3 = k_1$ , we can take  $r = 2$  and we are done.
- ▶  $k_3 = k_2$  is not possible, as this would mean that  $k_2 = \sigma(k_1) = \sigma(k_2)$  and contradicting injectivity of  $\sigma$ .

## Continuation

- ▶ Continuing this way, by induction if we get distinct  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s$  with  $k_1 \dashrightarrow k_2 \dashrightarrow \dots \dashrightarrow k_s$ , we take  $k_{s+1} = \sigma(k_s)$ . If  $k_{s+1} = k_1$ , we can take  $r = s$  and we are done.

# Continuation

- ▶ Continuing this way, by induction if we get distinct  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s$  with  $k_1 \dashrightarrow k_2 \dashrightarrow \dots \dashrightarrow k_s$ , we take  $k_{s+1} = \sigma(k_s)$ . If  $k_{s+1} = k_1$ , we can take  $r = s$  and we are done.
- ▶ For any  $2 \leq t \leq s$ ,  $k_{s+1} = k_t = \sigma(k_{t-1})$  is not possible due to injectivity of  $\sigma$ .



# Continuation

- ▶ Continuing this way, by induction if we get distinct  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s$  with  $k_1 \dashrightarrow k_2 \dashrightarrow \dots \dashrightarrow k_s$ , we take  $k_{s+1} = \sigma(k_s)$ . If  $k_{s+1} = k_1$ , we can take  $r = s$  and we are done.
- ▶ For any  $2 \leq t \leq s$ ,  $k_{s+1} = k_t = \sigma(k_{t-1})$  is not possible due to injectivity of  $\sigma$ .
- ▶ If  $k_1, \dots, k_{s+1}$  are all distinct, we can continue the induction process.

# Continuation

- ▶ Continuing this way, by induction if we get distinct  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s$  with  $k_1 \dashrightarrow k_2 \dashrightarrow \dots \dashrightarrow k_s$ , we take  $k_{s+1} = \sigma(k_s)$ . If  $k_{s+1} = k_1$ , we can take  $r = s$  and we are done.
- ▶ For any  $2 \leq t \leq s$ ,  $k_{s+1} = k_t = \sigma(k_{t-1})$  is not possible due to injectivity of  $\sigma$ .
- ▶ If  $k_1, \dots, k_{s+1}$  are all distinct, we can continue the induction process.
- ▶ This process has to terminate after some steps as the set  $S$  is finite. In fact  $S$  has exactly  $n$  distinct elements and so we will have  $r \leq n$ .

# Continuation

- ▶ Continuing this way, by induction if we get distinct  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s$  with  $k_1 \dashrightarrow k_2 \dashrightarrow \dots \dashrightarrow k_s$ , we take  $k_{s+1} = \sigma(k_s)$ . If  $k_{s+1} = k_1$ , we can take  $r = s$  and we are done.
- ▶ For any  $2 \leq t \leq s$ ,  $k_{s+1} = k_t = \sigma(k_{t-1})$  is not possible due to injectivity of  $\sigma$ .
- ▶ If  $k_1, \dots, k_{s+1}$  are all distinct, we can continue the induction process.
- ▶ This process has to terminate after some steps as the set  $S$  is finite. In fact  $S$  has exactly  $n$  distinct elements and so we will have  $r \leq n$ .
- ▶ **Exercise 1.6:** Show that there exists some  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sigma^t(j) = j$  for all  $j \in S$ .

# Products of cycles

- ▶ We have seen that a permutation may have several cycles.

# Products of cycles

- ▶ We have seen that a permutation may have several cycles.
- ▶ We may write down the permutation by listing the cycles it has.

# Products of cycles

- ▶ We have seen that a permutation may have several cycles.
- ▶ We may write down the permutation by listing the cycles it has.
- ▶ For instance, the permutation of Example 1.4, is written as  $(1, 3, 7)(2, 5)(4)(6)$ .

# Products of cycles

- ▶ We have seen that a permutation may have several cycles.
- ▶ We may write down the permutation by listing the cycles it has.
- ▶ For instance, the permutation of Example 1.4, is written as  $(1, 3, 7)(2, 5)(4)(6)$ .
- ▶ We may also write it as  $(3, 7, 1)(4)(2, 5), (6)$  or as  $(7, 1, 3)(5, 2)(6)(4)$ . In other words, in what order we write these cycles does not matter.

# Products of cycles

- ▶ We have seen that a permutation may have several cycles.
- ▶ We may write down the permutation by listing the cycles it has.
- ▶ For instance, the permutation of Example 1.4, is written as  $(1, 3, 7)(2, 5)(4)(6)$ .
- ▶ We may also write it as  $(3, 7, 1)(4)(2, 5), (6)$  or as  $(7, 1, 3)(5, 2)(6)(4)$ . In other words, in what order we write these cycles does not matter.
- ▶ Some authors do not write down 1-cycles at all. It is understood that elements of  $S$  which are not written down form 1-cycles.



# Products of cycles

- ▶ We have seen that a permutation may have several cycles.
- ▶ We may write down the permutation by listing the cycles it has.
- ▶ For instance, the permutation of Example 1.4, is written as  $(1, 3, 7)(2, 5)(4)(6)$ .
- ▶ We may also write it as  $(3, 7, 1)(4)(2, 5), (6)$  or as  $(7, 1, 3)(5, 2)(6)(4)$ . In other words, in what order we write these cycles does not matter.
- ▶ Some authors do not write down 1-cycles at all. It is understood that elements of  $S$  which are not written down form 1-cycles.
- ▶ With this notation this permutation is simply  $(1, 3, 7), (2, 5)$  or  $(3, 7, 1)(5, 2)$  etc.

## Products of cycles continued

- ▶ Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## Products of cycles continued

- ▶ Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ Then  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$  denotes the permutation:

$$k_1 \mapsto k_2 \mapsto k_3 \mapsto \dots \mapsto k_r \mapsto k_1, j \mapsto j \text{ otherwise.}$$

## Products of cycles continued

- ▶ Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ Then  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$  denotes the permutation:

$$k_1 \mapsto k_2 \mapsto k_3 \mapsto \dots \mapsto k_r \mapsto k_1, j \mapsto j \text{ otherwise.}$$

## Products of cycles continued

- ▶ Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ Then  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$  denotes the permutation:

$$k_1 \mapsto k_2 \mapsto k_3 \mapsto \dots \mapsto k_r \mapsto k_1, j \mapsto j \text{ otherwise.}$$

## Products of cycles continued

- ▶ Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ Then  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$  denotes the permutation:

$$k_1 \mapsto k_2 \mapsto k_3 \mapsto \dots \mapsto k_r \mapsto k_1, j \mapsto j \text{ otherwise.}$$

- ▶ More generally if  $k_{11}, k_{12}, \dots, k_{1r_1}, k_{21}, k_{22}, \dots, k_{2r_2}, k_{31}, k_{32}, \dots, k_{3r_3}, \dots, k_{m1}, k_{m2}, \dots, k_{mr_m}$  are distinct elements of  $S$ , then

$$(k_{11}, k_{12}, \dots, k_{1r_1})(k_{21}, k_{22}, \dots, k_{2r_2}) \cdots (k_{m1}, k_{m2}, \dots, k_{mr_m})$$

is a 'product' of cycles, with

$$\sigma(k_{11}) = k_{12}, \sigma(k_{12}) = k_{13}, \dots, \sigma(k_{1r_1}) = k_{11},$$

$$\sigma(k_{21}) = k_{22}, \dots, \sigma(k_{2r_2}) = k_{21}, \dots,$$

$$\sigma(k_{m1}) = k_{m2} \dots, \sigma(k_{mr_m}) = k_{m1}, \sigma(j) = j, \text{ otherwise.}$$

# Products of cycles theorem

- **Theorem 1.7:** Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $\sigma$  is a permutation of  $S$ . Then  $S$  decomposes uniquely as a product of cycles.

# Products of cycles theorem

- ▶ **Theorem 1.7:** Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $\sigma$  is a permutation of  $S$ . Then  $S$  decomposes uniquely as a product of cycles.
- ▶ **Proof.** Take  $k_1 = 1$ . Then by Lemma 1.3, and its proof,  $\sigma$  has a cycle  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$  with  $k_1 = 1$ .



# Products of cycles theorem

- ▶ **Theorem 1.7:** Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $\sigma$  is a permutation of  $S$ . Then  $S$  decomposes uniquely as a product of cycles.
- ▶ **Proof.** Take  $k_1 = 1$ . Then by Lemma 1.3, and its proof,  $\sigma$  has a cycle  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$  with  $k_1 = 1$ .
- ▶ If  $S = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ , we are done. If not, take any  $j \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}^c$ , and we can get a cycle  $(j_1, j_2, \dots)$  with elements distinct from  $\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ . Continuing this way, we can exhaust whole of  $S$ , as  $S$  is a finite set.

# Products of cycles theorem

- ▶ **Theorem 1.7:** Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $\sigma$  is a permutation of  $S$ . Then  $S$  decomposes uniquely as a product of cycles.
- ▶ **Proof.** Take  $k_1 = 1$ . Then by Lemma 1.3, and its proof,  $\sigma$  has a cycle  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$  with  $k_1 = 1$ .
- ▶ If  $S = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ , we are done. If not, take any  $j \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}^c$ , and we can get a cycle  $(j_1, j_2, \dots)$  with elements distinct from  $\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ . Continuing this way, we can exhaust whole of  $S$ , as  $S$  is a finite set.
- ▶ Clearly then, the permutation  $\sigma$  is a product of cycles. The uniqueness should also be clear as these cycles determine  $\sigma$ .

# Products of cycles theorem

- ▶ **Theorem 1.7:** Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $\sigma$  is a permutation of  $S$ . Then  $S$  decomposes uniquely as a product of cycles.
- ▶ **Proof.** Take  $k_1 = 1$ . Then by Lemma 1.3, and its proof,  $\sigma$  has a cycle  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$  with  $k_1 = 1$ .
- ▶ If  $S = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ , we are done. If not, take any  $j \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}^c$ , and we can get a cycle  $(j_1, j_2, \dots)$  with elements distinct from  $\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ . Continuing this way, we can exhaust whole of  $S$ , as  $S$  is a finite set.
- ▶ Clearly then, the permutation  $\sigma$  is a product of cycles. The uniqueness should also be clear as these cycles determine  $\sigma$ .
- ▶ For  $i, j$  in  $S$ , write  $i \sim j$  if  $j = \sigma^r(i)$  for some  $r \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$ .

# Products of cycles theorem

- ▶ **Theorem 1.7:** Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $\sigma$  is a permutation of  $S$ . Then  $S$  decomposes uniquely as a product of cycles.
- ▶ **Proof.** Take  $k_1 = 1$ . Then by Lemma 1.3, and its proof,  $\sigma$  has a cycle  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$  with  $k_1 = 1$ .
- ▶ If  $S = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ , we are done. If not, take any  $j \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}^c$ , and we can get a cycle  $(j_1, j_2, \dots)$  with elements distinct from  $\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ . Continuing this way, we can exhaust whole of  $S$ , as  $S$  is a finite set.
- ▶ Clearly then, the permutation  $\sigma$  is a product of cycles. The uniqueness should also be clear as these cycles determine  $\sigma$ .
- ▶ For  $i, j$  in  $S$ , write  $i \sim j$  if  $j = \sigma^r(i)$  for some  $r \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$ .
- ▶ Then  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation. ([Exercise](#)).

# Products of cycles theorem

- ▶ **Theorem 1.7:** Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $\sigma$  is a permutation of  $S$ . Then  $S$  decomposes uniquely as a product of cycles.
- ▶ **Proof.** Take  $k_1 = 1$ . Then by Lemma 1.3, and its proof,  $\sigma$  has a cycle  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$  with  $k_1 = 1$ .
- ▶ If  $S = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ , we are done. If not, take any  $j \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}^c$ , and we can get a cycle  $(j_1, j_2, \dots)$  with elements distinct from  $\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ . Continuing this way, we can exhaust whole of  $S$ , as  $S$  is a finite set.
- ▶ Clearly then, the permutation  $\sigma$  is a product of cycles. The uniqueness should also be clear as these cycles determine  $\sigma$ .
- ▶ For  $i, j$  in  $S$ , write  $i \sim j$  if  $j = \sigma^r(i)$  for some  $r \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$ .
- ▶ Then  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation. ([Exercise](#)).
- ▶ It may be seen that  $i, j$  are in the same cycle if and only if  $i \sim j$ . In other words, the equivalence classes form different cycles of the permutation.

# Signature of a permutation

- **Definition 1.8:** Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\sigma$  be a permutation of  $S$ . Then the **signature** of  $\sigma$  is defined as the number

$$\epsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^{n-p}$$

where  $p$  is the number of cycles (including cycles of length 1) in the cycle decomposition of  $\sigma$ .

# Signature of a permutation

- **Definition 1.8:** Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\sigma$  be a permutation of  $S$ . Then the **signature** of  $\sigma$  is defined as the number

$$\epsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^{n-p}$$

where  $p$  is the number of cycles (including cycles of length 1) in the cycle decomposition of  $\sigma$ .

- For instance for the permutation  $\sigma$  of Example 1.3,  
 $\epsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^{7-4} = (-1)^3 = -1$ .

# Signature of a permutation

- **Definition 1.8:** Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\sigma$  be a permutation of  $S$ . Then the **signature** of  $\sigma$  is defined as the number

$$\epsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^{n-p}$$

where  $p$  is the number of cycles (including cycles of length 1) in the cycle decomposition of  $\sigma$ .

- For instance for the permutation  $\sigma$  of Example 1.3,  
 $\epsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^{7-4} = (-1)^3 = -1$ .
- Note that the signature of identity permutation is always 1.



# Signature of a permutation

- **Definition 1.8:** Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\sigma$  be a permutation of  $S$ . Then the **signature** of  $\sigma$  is defined as the number

$$\epsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^{n-p}$$

where  $p$  is the number of cycles (including cycles of length 1) in the cycle decomposition of  $\sigma$ .

- For instance for the permutation  $\sigma$  of Example 1.3,  
 $\epsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^{7-4} = (-1)^3 = -1$ .
- Note that the signature of identity permutation is always 1.
- A cycle  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$  can be identified with the permutation  $\sigma$  defined by

$$\sigma(k_1) = k_2, \sigma(k_2) = k_3, \dots, \sigma(k_r) = k_1$$

and  $\sigma(j) = j$  for  $j \notin \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ .

# Signature of a permutation

- **Definition 1.8:** Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\sigma$  be a permutation of  $S$ . Then the **signature** of  $\sigma$  is defined as the number

$$\epsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^{n-p}$$

where  $p$  is the number of cycles (including cycles of length 1) in the cycle decomposition of  $\sigma$ .

- For instance for the permutation  $\sigma$  of Example 1.3,  
 $\epsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^{7-4} = (-1)^3 = -1$ .
- Note that the signature of identity permutation is always 1.
- A cycle  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$  can be identified with the permutation  $\sigma$  defined by

$$\sigma(k_1) = k_2, \sigma(k_2) = k_3, \dots, \sigma(k_r) = k_1$$

and  $\sigma(j) = j$  for  $j \notin \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ .

- Therefore the signature of a cycle is defined as  
 $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) = (-1)^{n-(1+(n-r))} = (-1)^{r-1}$ .

- ▶ Cycles of length two are known as transpositions. We see that transpositions have signature  $(-1)$ .

# Continuation

- ▶ Cycles of length two are known as transpositions. We see that transpositions have signature  $(-1)$ .
- ▶ Permutations with signature  $(+1)$  are known as **even** permutations and those with signature  $(-1)$  are known as **odd** permutations.

# Continuation

- ▶ Cycles of length two are known as transpositions. We see that transpositions have signature  $(-1)$ .
- ▶ Permutations with signature  $(+1)$  are known as **even** permutations and those with signature  $(-1)$  are known as **odd** permutations.
- ▶ **END OF LECTURE 1.**