

Lecture-22

In the last lecture we discussed the space of all differential 1-forms on M , as the set $D^1(M)$ consisting of elements ω , thought of as a map $p \mapsto \omega_p$, $\omega: M \rightarrow \bigcup_p T_p^*M$ ← Cotangent bundle of M , $\omega_p \in T_p^*M$.

Higher degree differential forms :- Recall the $C^\infty(M)$ -module $D_1(M) = \mathcal{X}(M)$. We define a differential form of degree r on M to be an r -linear alternating map of $C^\infty(M)$ -modules $\omega: D_1(M) \times \dots \times D_1(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$. We denote the set of all deg r diff forms on M by $D^r(M)$.

Example :- Let $\omega \in D^1(M)$. Define a 2-form $d\omega: D_1(M) \times D_1(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ by $d\omega(X, Y) = X(\omega(Y)) - Y(\omega(X)) - \omega([X, Y])$.

Then $d\omega \in D^2(M)$.

Exercise: We defined, for $f \in C^\infty(M)$ the 1-form $df \in D^1(M)$. What is $d(df)$?

22-2

This example is a particular case of

The d-operator :- We'll define $d: D^r(M) \rightarrow D^{r+1}(M)$

For this, we use (any) local expression for ω . Let (U, α) be a coordinate chart on M . Then ω has an expression

$$\omega = \sum_{i_1 \dots i_r} a_{i_1 \dots i_r} d\alpha_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\alpha_{i_r} \quad \text{on } U.$$

($\omega_p \in \wedge^r T_p^* M$, $\omega_p(v_1, \dots, v_r) = \omega(x_1, \dots, x_r)(p)$, $x_i(p) = v_i$ on U etc.)

Define

$$d^L \omega := \sum da_{i_1 \dots i_r} \wedge d\alpha_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\alpha_{i_r}$$

(here L stands for 'local').

We would like to extend the defⁿ of d^L to all of M . So we must verify, for another chart (V, β) on M with $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$, if

$$\omega = \sum b_{j_1 \dots j_r} dy_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dy_{j_r} \quad \text{on } V, \text{ then}$$

$$\sum db_{j_1 \dots j_r} \wedge dy_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dy_{j_r} = \sum da_{i_1 \dots i_r} \wedge d\alpha_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\alpha_{i_r}$$

on $U \cap V$.

Global definition of d : We'll define $d: \bigoplus_{r=0}^m D^r M$

$\rightarrow \bigoplus D^r M$ and show it matches with d^L locally.

We have $D^0 M = C^\infty(M)$. Let $\omega \in D^r(M)$, $r \geq 1$ and $x_1, \dots, x_{r+1} \in D_1(M)$. Define

$$d\omega(x_1, \dots, x_{r+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} (-1)^{i+1} x_i \omega(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{r+1}) \\ + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([x_i, x_j], x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_{r+1})$$

$\rightarrow d\omega$ is additive clearly.

• $C^\infty(M)$ -linearity: $d\omega(x_1, \dots, f x_k, \dots, x_{r+1}) \stackrel{?}{=} f d\omega(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_{r+1})$.

• So $d\omega$ is $C^\infty(M)$ -linear

• $d\omega$ is alternating, so $d\omega \in D^{r+1}(M)$.

*₁: $\forall f \in C^\infty(M)$, $df(x) = X(f)$.

*₂: d is $\mathbb{R}$ -linear, $d(\alpha\omega + \beta\eta) = \alpha d\omega + \beta d\eta$

*₃: $d(\omega \wedge \eta) = d\omega \wedge \eta + (-1)^r \omega \wedge d\eta$ for $\omega \in D^r(M)$, $\eta \in D^s(M)$.

*₄: $d^2 = 0$, i.e. $d(d\omega) = 0 \neq \omega$.

Proposition :- Any $C^\infty(M)$ -linear operator

$d': D^r(M) \rightarrow D^{r+1}(M)$ satisfying the properties

*₁ - *₄ must coincide with d^L locally.

Proof:- We have

$$d'(d\alpha_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\alpha_{i_r}) = d'(d\alpha_{i_1}) \wedge d\alpha_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge d\alpha_{i_r} \\ - d\alpha_{i_1} \wedge d'(d\alpha_{i_2}) \wedge d\alpha_{i_3} \wedge \dots \wedge d\alpha_{i_r} \\ + \dots$$

$$\text{We have } d'f = df \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(M) \quad (*)$$

$$\Rightarrow d'(d\alpha_{i_k}) = d'^2(\alpha_{i_k}) = 0 \quad \forall k \quad (*_4). \text{ Hence}$$

$$d'(f d\alpha_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\alpha_{i_r}) = df \wedge d\alpha_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\alpha_{i_r} \\ = d^L(f d\alpha_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\alpha_{i_r}).$$

Hence, to check the listed properties, it is necessary that d^L satisfies the properties on any chart (U, x) . We have, for $f \in C^\infty(M)$, $d^L(f) = df$ (by defⁿ of d^L), and, we have seen that for any $X \in \mathcal{X}(M) = \mathcal{D}_1(M)$, $df(X) = X(f)$. So $*_1$ is satisfied by d^L , $*_2$ is obvious. For $*_3$, using linearity, it suffices to check $*_3$ for $\omega = a d\alpha_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\alpha_{i_r}$ & $\eta = b d\alpha_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\alpha_{j_s}$. Then $\omega \wedge \eta = a \cdot b d\alpha_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\alpha_{i_r} \wedge d\alpha_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\alpha_{j_s}$. □

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Hence } d^L(\omega \wedge \eta) &= d(ab) \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{j_s} \\
 &= (da \cdot b + a \cdot db) \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_r} \wedge dx_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{j_s} \\
 &= da \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_r} \wedge b dx_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{j_s} \\
 &\quad + a dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_r} \wedge (-1)^r db \wedge dx_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{j_s} \\
 &= d\omega \wedge \eta + (-1)^r \omega \wedge d\eta. \quad \text{Hence } *_{\mathfrak{z}}
 \end{aligned}$$

holds for d^L .

*₄: Exercise: Using $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}$ and

$$dx_i \wedge dx_j = -dx_j \wedge dx_i, \quad \text{it follows that}$$

$$d_L^2(f) = 0 \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(M). \quad \square$$

Since ω in general is a sum of (wedge) products of r 1-forms of type df , using $*_{\mathfrak{z}}$, every term of $d_L^2(\omega)$ has a factor of the form $d^L^2(f) = 0$, hence it follows that $d_L^2(\omega) = 0$. (check details) $\square$

Theorem: $d: \mathcal{D}^r(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{r+1}(M)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 d\omega(x_1, \dots, x_{r+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} (-1)^{i+1} \omega(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{r+1}) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([x_i, x_j], x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_{r+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

²²⁻⁶
 d matches with d^L on local charts.

Sketch :- Using linearity of d & d^L , it reduces to check, for $\omega = a dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_r$,

$$d\omega\left(\underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_1}}}_{x_{i_1}}, \dots, \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_r}}}_{x_{i_r}}\right) = d^L \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_r}}\right)$$

This follows by explicit computations. $\square$

Pull back of a differential form :- Let

$\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map, δ a diff. form on N . We define the pull back $\varphi^*(\delta)$ to be the differential form on M , having same degree as δ (say s), by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^*(\delta)(x_1, \dots, x_s)(p) \\ = \delta\left(D\varphi(p)(x_1(p)), \dots, D\varphi(p)(x_s(p))\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\varphi^*(\delta) \in \mathcal{D}^s(M)$. So $\varphi^*: \mathcal{D}^s(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^s(M)$

$s \geq 0$. We have

Propⁿ :- $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ smooth $\omega \in \mathcal{D}(N)$. Then
 $d(\varphi^*\omega) = \varphi^*(d\omega) \rightsquigarrow$

22-7.

i.e. exterior derivative operator d commutes with pull-backs.

Pf: We may check this locally. So let

$$\omega = a dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_r. \text{ Then } d\omega = da \wedge dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_r$$

and $\varphi^*(d\omega) = \varphi^*(da \wedge dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_r)$

$$= \varphi^* da \wedge \varphi^* dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi^* dy_r$$

Writing $\varphi_i = y_i \circ \varphi$

$$= d(a \circ \varphi) \wedge d\varphi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\varphi_r.$$

Also $\varphi^*\omega = \varphi^*(a dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_r)$

$$= \varphi^*(a) \varphi^* dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi^* dy_r$$
$$= a \circ \varphi \cdot d\varphi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\varphi_r. \text{ Thus}$$

$$d(\varphi^*\omega) = d(a \circ \varphi) \wedge d\varphi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\varphi_r.$$

Hence φ^* and d commute.

□

