

Lecture-23

So far, we have defined differential forms of degree r , $r \geq 0$; their space is denoted by $D^r(M)$. We have defined a d -operator: $D^r(M) \xrightarrow{d_r} D^{r+1}(M)$ having the property $d^2 = 0$, i.e. $d_{r+1} \circ d_r = 0$, $r \geq 0$. Note also that d is an $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map.

Cochain complexes & their cohomology groups:

Let $\mathcal{C}: 0 \rightarrow C^0 \rightarrow C^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C^i \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}} C^{i+1} \xrightarrow{d_i} C^{i+2} \rightarrow \dots$

be a sequence of abelian groups and homomorphisms with $d_i \circ d_{i-1} = 0 \quad \forall i$, i.e.

$\text{Image } d_{i-1} \subseteq \text{Ker } d_i$. The i th cohomology group of $\mathcal{C}$ is defined by $H^i(\mathcal{C}) = \frac{\text{Ker } d_i}{\text{Im } (d_{i-1})}$.

Elements of $\text{Ker } d_i$ are called i -cocycles and elements of $\text{Im } (d_{i-1})$ are called i -coboundaries.

These cohomology groups measure the failure of $\mathcal{C}$ to be exact at C^i ; $H^i(\mathcal{C}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is exact at C^i .

23-2

There is an analogous 'dual' notion of a chain complex: a sequence

$\mathcal{C}: \dots \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} C_i \xrightarrow{d_i} C_{i-1} \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{d_1} C_0 \rightarrow 0$ of abelian groups and homomorphisms, with $d_i \circ d_{i+1} = 0$ & decreasing degrees. One defines the i^{th} homology group $H_i(\mathcal{C}) = \frac{\text{Ker}(d_i)}{\text{Im}(d_{i+1})}$. This group measures the failure of $\mathcal{C}$ from being exact (i.e. $\text{Ker } d_i = \text{Im } d_{i+1}$) at C_i .

de-Rham cohomology groups: We use the co-chain complex

$\dots \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^i(M) \xrightarrow{d_i} \mathcal{D}^{i+1}(M) \rightarrow \dots$ of the space of differential forms. A diff form ω of degree k is called closed if $d\omega = 0$ and call ω exact if $\omega = d\eta$ for some $\eta \in \mathcal{D}^{k-1}(M)$. Since $d_i \circ d_{i-1} = 0$, it follows that exact forms are closed. Let

$$H_{dR}^k(M) = \text{Ker } d_k / \text{Im } d_{k-1}. \text{ This is}$$

the de-Rham cohomology of M in degree k .

We may sketch a proof of

Theorem :- (i) $H_{dR}^k(M)$ are finite dim'l $\mathbb{R}$ -vector spaces.

(ii) If $\beta_k = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} H_{dR}^k(M)$, then

$$\sum_k (-1)^k \beta_k = \chi(M), \text{ the Euler-}$$

Characteristic of M ; the number (integer) β_k is called the k^{th} Betti no. of M . $\square$

Orientation :- Recall, while handling 'limits of integration' we needed orientation. To do this for level surfaces $S = f^{-1}(c)$, we define S to be orientable if the map $S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ $p \mapsto N(p) := \nabla f(p)$ is smooth; where we assume $\nabla f(p) \neq 0 \forall p \in S$. In other words, the normal varies smoothly as we move on S .

Example : $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $c=0$, $\nabla f(p) \neq 0 \forall p \in S = f^{-1}(0)$.

Then, for $p \in S$, $\nabla f(p) \perp T_p(S)$. Now $T_p(S)$ is 2 dim'l, say with basis $\{v_1, v_2\}$. Thus

$$\nabla f(p) \in \langle v_1 \wedge v_2 \rangle \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{Exercize} \\ \leftarrow \end{array} \right|. \quad \curvearrowright$$

~~Moscow 2~~ $p \mapsto \nabla f(p)$ is smooth.

• Orientable manifold: A smooth manifold M is defin- ω to be orientable if $\exists$ a smooth differential $m = \dim M$ -form ω on M such that $\omega_p \neq 0 \quad \forall p \in M$.

We call M orient- ω if M is orientable and a top degree nowhere vanishing diff. form ω is fix- ω , call- ω the orientation class of M .

$\rightarrow$ A basis $\{v_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ of $T_p M$ is positively orient- ω if $\omega_p(v_1, \dots, v_m) > 0$.

• Orientability and coordinate systems: Let (M, ω) be an orient- ω manifold, $p \in M$ and let (U, α) be a coordinate chart around p ($\alpha(p) = 0$). For any $q \in U$, ω_q defines an orientation on $T_q M$ and we can define positively or negatively orient- ω coordinate systems.

Call (U, α) positively orient- ω if $\omega_q \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \Big|_q, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} \Big|_q \right) > 0 \quad \forall q \in U$.

$\rightarrow$ If $(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ is positively orient- ω then $(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m)})$ is negatively orient- ω for any odd $\sigma \in S_m$.

Exercise :- If U is connected, then

$q \mapsto \omega_q \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \Big|_q, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} \Big|_q \right)$ has the same sign on U and we can write

$$\omega = f_U dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_m \text{ on } U \text{ with}$$

$$f_U(q) > 0 \forall q \text{ or } f_U(q) < 0 \forall q \in U.$$

Remark:- If (U, α) , (V, β) are two +vely (resp. -vely) oriented charts on M , then

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial \beta_i}{\partial \alpha_j} \right) > 0 \text{ on } U \cap V.$$

→ We call two charts (U, α) , (V, β) consistently oriented if either $U \cap V = \emptyset$ or $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ and $\det \left(\frac{\partial \beta_i}{\partial \alpha_j} \right) > 0$ on $U \cap V$.

→ We call an atlas $\mathcal{A}$ an oriented atlas if all its charts are consistently oriented.

Thm: M is orientable $\Leftrightarrow M$ admits an oriented atlas.

