

# Percolation Theory

## Homework 5

BIKRAM HALDER  
MM2408

For  $n \geq 1$ , define the box  $B_n := [-n, n]^d \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ , boundary of  $B_n$  as  $\partial B_n := \{v \in V : \|v\|_\infty = n\}$  and

$$\beta_n := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C} \cap \partial B_n \neq \emptyset).$$

**Lemma 1.** For all  $m, n \geq 1$ , we have

- (i)  $\beta_{m+n} \leq \#(\partial B_m) \beta_m \beta_n$ ,
- (ii)  $\beta_{m+n} \geq \frac{1}{2d \#(\partial B_m)} \beta_m \beta_n$ .

**Problem 1.** Do similar computations as in Lecture 6 by taking the sequence  $\{b_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  defined by

$$b_k := g_k - \log \beta_k + (d-1) \log 2$$

and show for all  $m, n \geq 1$  that,

- (i) (Subadditivity)  $b_{m+n} \leq b_m + b_n$ ,
- (ii)  $g_n - \log \beta_n + (d-1) \log 2 \geq n\phi(p)$ ,
- (iii)  $\log \beta_n \leq -n\phi(p) + (d-1) \log n + c_2$ , where  $c_2$  is some constant,
- (iv)  $\beta_n \leq C_2 e^{-n\phi(p)} n^{d-1}$ , where  $C_2$  is some constant.

*Solution.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \#(\partial B_m) &\leq 2d(2m+1)^{d-1} \\ &= 2d \left(2 + \frac{1}{m}\right)^{d-1} m^{d-1} \\ &\leq d 3^d m^{d-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Also trivially,

$$\#(\partial B_m) \leq 2d \#(\partial B_m) \leq d^2 3^{d+1} m^{d-1}.$$

Then from Lemma 1, taking logarithm on both sides, we get

$$\log \beta_{m+n} \leq \log \beta_m + \log \beta_n + \log (d^2 3^{d+1} m^{d-1}), \quad (1)$$

$$\log \beta_{m+n} \geq \log \beta_m + \log \beta_n - \underbrace{\log (d^2 3^{d+1} m^{d-1})}_{\text{Call it } g_m}. \quad (2)$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $m \leq n$ . Subtracting  $g_n$  from both sides of (2), and rearranging,

$$\begin{aligned} g_n - \log \beta_{m+n} &\leq g_n + g_m - \log \beta_m - \log \beta_n \\ &= (g_n - \log \beta_n) + (g_m - \log \beta_m) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Note that  $g_n = 2 \log d + (d+1) \log 3 + (d-1) \log n$ . So,

$$g_{m+n} - g_n = (d-1) \log \frac{m+n}{n} \leq (d-1) \log 2. \quad (4)$$

Now (3) and (4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} g_{m+n} - \log \beta_{m+n} &= g_{m+n} - g_n + g_n - \log \beta_{m+n} \\ &\leq (d-1) \log 2 + (g_n - \log \beta_n) + (g_m - \log \beta_m). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Adding  $(d-1) \log 2$  on both sides of (5), we get

$$g_{m+n} - \log \beta_{m+n} + (d-1) \log 2 \leq (g_n - \log \beta_n + (d-1) \log 2) + (g_m - \log \beta_m + (d-1) \log 2).$$

In other words,  $b_{m+n} \leq b_m + b_n$ . This proves the subadditivity of  $b_k$  (part (i)). By Fekete's lemma, the following limit exists and is given by

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{n} = \inf_{k \geq 1} \frac{b_k}{k}. \quad (6)$$

Notice that  $g_n + (d-1) \log 2 = o(n)$ . So, from (5), we have

$$\underbrace{-\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \beta_n}{n}}_{\text{Call it } \phi(p)} = \inf_{k \geq 1} \frac{b_k}{k}. \quad (7)$$

So,  $\phi(p) \leq \frac{b_n}{n}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . In other words, for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$g_n - \log \beta_n + (d-1) \log 2 \geq n\phi(p). \quad (8)$$

This proves part (ii). Rearranging (8),

$$\begin{aligned} \log \beta_n &\leq -n\phi(p) + g_n + (d-1) \log 2 \\ &= -n\phi(p) + (2 \log d + (d+1) \log 3 + (d-1) \log n) + (d-1) \log 2 \\ &= -n\phi(p) + (d-1) \log n + c_2, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where  $c_2 = 2 \log d + (d+1) \log 3 + (d-1) \log 2$  (constant). This proves part (iii). Finally, taking exponential on both sides of (9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n &\leq e^{-n\phi(p)} n^{d-1} e^{c_2} \\ &\leq C_2 e^{-n\phi(p)} n^{d-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where  $C_2 = e^{c_2}$  (constant). This proves part (iv).  $\square$

**Problem 2.** For  $x \geq y \geq 0$  and  $\gamma \in [1, +\infty)$  show that

$$x^\gamma p^\gamma + y^\gamma (1-p)^\gamma \leq (xp + y(1-p))^\gamma.$$

*Solution.* For  $\gamma = 1$  or  $p = 0$  or  $x = y$  or one of  $x$  or  $y$  is zero, the inequality becomes an equality. So we assume that  $p \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\gamma > 1$  and  $x \geq y > 0$ , so  $t := \frac{x}{y} \geq 1$ , i.e.,  $t-1 \geq 0$ . Thus we are required to show that

$$t^\gamma p^\gamma + 1 - p^\gamma \leq (tp + (1-p))^\gamma.$$

Or equivalently,

$$((t-1)p + 1)^\gamma - (t^\gamma - 1)p^\gamma - 1 \geq 0.$$

Let  $f(t) := ((t-1)p + 1)^\gamma - (t^\gamma - 1)p^\gamma - 1$  for  $t \geq 1$ . Then  $f(1) = 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} f'(t) &= \gamma((t-1)p + 1)^{\gamma-1} p - \gamma t^{\gamma-1} p^\gamma \\ &= \left( \left( (t-1) + \frac{1}{p} \right)^{\gamma-1} - t^{\gamma-1} \right) \gamma p^\gamma \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq \left( ((t-1)+1)^{\gamma-1} - t^{\gamma-1} \right) \gamma p^\gamma = 0,$$

where the last inequality follows from  $1/p \geq 1$ . Therefore,  $f$  is increasing in  $[1, +\infty)$ . So, for all  $t \geq 1$ , we have  $f(t) \geq f(1) = 0$ . This proves the inequality.  $\square$