

$\therefore$ Explicit constructions of codes-I:

[Goal: Constructing asymptotically good q -ary code family]

1. Hamming Code: $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,

a. $C_{H,k} : [2^k - 1, 2^k - k - 1, 3]_2$ code over F_2 .

Describe with respect to parity matrix.

for block length n , dimension $n - \log n - 1$,

b. $C_{H,k}^\perp = [2^k - 1, k, 2^{k-1}]_2$ code.

3. Hadamard Code: $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,

$C_{Had,k}$: Generator matrix is the same as the generator matrix of $C_{H,k}^\perp$ with an added column of all zeroes.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & i & \dots & 2^k - 1 \\ & & & | & & \\ & & & \bar{b}_i & & \\ & & & 1 & & \end{bmatrix}_{k \times 2^k},$$

where $\bar{b}_i$ is the k -bit binary representation of the integer i .

$$C_{\text{Had}, k} : [2^k, k, 2^{k-1}]_2 \text{ code} :$$

[Proof is the same as $C_{11, k}^1$]

Parity Matrix for $C_{\text{Had}, k}$:

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{matrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ \vdots \\ i \\ \vdots \\ k \end{matrix} \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \begin{matrix} \{1\} & \{2\} & \dots & \{k\} \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} \emptyset, \{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \dots, S, \dots, [k] \end{matrix} \\ \hline \begin{matrix} I_k \\ \vdots \\ - \quad - \quad - \quad - \\ \vdots \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ - \quad - \quad - \quad - \quad * \\ \vdots \end{matrix} \end{array} \right]_{k \times 2^k}$$

$$* = 1 \text{ if } i \in S.$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{matrix} \emptyset \\ \{1,2\} \\ \{1,3\} \\ \vdots \\ S \\ \vdots \\ [k] \end{matrix} \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \begin{matrix} \{1\} & \{2\} & \dots & \{i\} & \dots & \{k\} \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} \emptyset, \{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \dots, S, \dots, [k] \end{matrix} \\ \hline \begin{matrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 1 \Leftrightarrow i \in S \\ \vdots \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 1 \quad \dots \quad \vdots \\ \vdots \end{matrix} \end{array} \right]_{(2^k - k) \times 2^k}$$

$$\text{relative distance} = \frac{2^{k-1}}{2^k} = \frac{1}{2}, \text{ but rate} = \frac{k}{2^k}$$

In terms of codeword length.

$$\text{rel. dist} = \frac{n/2}{n} = \frac{1}{2}, \text{ but rate} = \frac{\log n}{n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Reed-Solomon Codes: $\mathbb{F}_q$ is a finite field.

choose $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ s.t. $k \leq n \leq q$.

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$: n -distinct elements from $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Encoding function: $RS: \mathbb{F}_q^k \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^n$ as follows.

message $m \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ can be seen as $(m_0, \dots, m_{k-1})$
with $m_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

then $m \mapsto$ degree $(k-1)$ poly $f_m(X)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ as

$$f_m(X) = m_0 + m_1 X + m_2 X^2 + \dots + m_{k-1} X^{k-1}$$

..... (Eq. 2)

$$RS(m) = (f_m(\alpha_1), f_m(\alpha_2), \dots, f_m(\alpha_n)).$$

A special case of RS code is when $n = q-1$
with the set of evaluation points are $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$.

Example: $[3, 2]_3$ RS codes.

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} (0, 0, 0) & (1, 1, 1) & (2, 2, 2) & (0, 1, 2) & (1, 2, 0) & (2, 0, 1) \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & x & x+1 & x+2 \end{array}$$

$$(0, 2, 1) \rightarrow 2x \quad (1, 0, 2) \rightarrow 2x+1 \quad (2, 1, 0) \rightarrow 2x+2$$

Claim 1: RS codes are linear codes.

Proof Idea:

- All polynomials of degree $< k$ form a vector space.

$$\Rightarrow \forall m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$$

$$m_i \xrightarrow{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}} f_{m_i}(x) \text{ for } i=1, 2 \text{ s.t. } \deg(f_{m_i}(x)) < k$$

$$f_{m_1}(x) + f_{m_2}(x) = f_{m_1+m_2}(x)$$

$$a f_{m_1}(x) = f_{a m_1}(x) \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{F}_q$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^k \text{ \& } a \in \mathbb{F}_q$$

$$RS(m_1) + RS(m_2) = RS(m_1 + m_2)$$

$$a \cdot RS(m_1) = RS(a \cdot m_1)$$

$\Rightarrow$ RS is an $[n, k]_q$ code.

Claim 2: RS is an $[n, k, n-k+1]$ code

Remark: RS-code achieves Singleton bound.

Proof Idea: Need to prove that $\forall m \in \mathbb{F}_q^k \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\omega_t(RS(m)) \geq n - k + 1$$

$\forall m \in \mathbb{F}_q^k \setminus \{0\}$.

$$m \xrightarrow{\text{RS-encoding}} (f_m(\alpha_1), f_m(\alpha_2), \dots, f_m(\alpha_n))$$

& $f_m(x)$ is non-zero polynomial

Proposition 1: For any non-zero polynomial $f(x)$, over a field F , of degree $\leq t$ has at most t roots.

Proof Idea: Proof by induction on t , starting from $t=0$. [Explain].

1.

$$\text{Proposition 1} \Rightarrow \forall m \in \mathbb{F}_q^k \setminus \{0\}, \omega_t(RS(m)) \geq n - k + 1$$

Alternate view: $\forall m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ with $m_1 \neq m_2$

$$\Delta(RS(m_1), RS(m_2)) = \#\{i \in [n] \mid f_{m_1}(\alpha_i) \neq f_{m_2}(\alpha_i)\}$$

$$= n - \#\{i \in [n] \mid f_{m_1}(\alpha_i) = f_{m_2}(\alpha_i)\}.$$

$$= n - \#\{i \in [n] \mid f_{m_1}(\alpha_i) - f_{m_2}(\alpha_i) = 0\}$$

$$= n - \#\{i \in [n] \mid f_{m_1 - m_2}(\alpha_i) = 0\}.$$

$$\geq n - (k-1). \quad [\text{proposition 1}]$$

$$= n - k + 1.$$

□

This combined with Singleton bound $\Rightarrow$

$$\text{dist}(\text{RS-codes}) = n - k + 1.$$

Q1: Can we construct an explicit codewords of
 $wt = n - k + 1$? [Explain]

Generator matrix: Find $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ s.t.

$RS(m_1), RS(m_2), \dots, RS(m_k)$ forms a basis for
RS-codes.

- Nice basis for polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree $< k$,
 $1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{k-1}$: [Monomial Basis].
- Generator matrix: i^{th} row is the evaluation of x^i
at $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$

$\Rightarrow$

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ x \\ x^2 \\ \vdots \\ x^i \\ \vdots \\ x^{k-1} \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \dots & \alpha_j & \dots & \alpha_n \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \dots & \alpha_j & \dots & \alpha_n \\ \alpha_1^2 & \alpha_2^2 & \alpha_3^2 & \dots & \alpha_j^2 & \dots & \alpha_n^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^i & \alpha_2^i & \alpha_3^i & \dots & \alpha_j^i & \dots & \alpha_n^i \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{k-1} & \alpha_2^{k-1} & \alpha_3^{k-1} & \dots & \alpha_j^{k-1} & \dots & \alpha_n^{k-1} \end{bmatrix}_{k \times n}.$$

Before discussing Dual of RS-Code, we take a slight detour & discuss MDS codes.

Maximum Distance Separable (MDS) code:

Definition: An $(n, k, d)_q$ code is called MDS code if $d = n - k + 1$

Example: Reed-Solomon code is a MDS code.

Notation: $\forall S \subseteq [n]$ of $|S| = k$, C_S is the set of all codewords in C projected onto the indices in S .

Proposition 1: Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a code of integral dimension k be an MDS code. Then, $\forall S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S| = k$
 $|C_S| = q^k$

[Explain for RS-codes.]

[Explain the general proof].

- Exercise: Show that the converse direction of Proposition 1 is also true.]
- Exercise: for any $[n, k]_q$ MDS code C , $C^\perp$ is also an MDS code.
- Exercise: For any generator matrix G of $[n, k]_q$ MDS code, any subset of k -cols of G are linearly independent & vice-versa!

$\therefore$ Explicit constructions of codes-II $\therefore$

Recap of Last class:

- Definition of Reed-Solomon Codes; $RS(F, k, q)$ for $F \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$.
- Definition of MDS-Codes; its property as Proposition 1 in the previous lecture.

Today's Lecture:

Proposition 1 in last lecture $\Rightarrow$ Pseudorandom property of MDS codes!

Explanation: A set $T \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is said to be a t -wise independent source if given a uniformly random sample $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in T$ and any subset of t variables are uniformly independently random over $\mathbb{F}_q$, i.e., $\forall S \subseteq [n]$ with $S = \{i_1, \dots, i_t\}$

$$(X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_t}) \in_r \mathbb{F}_q^t.$$

Trivial observation: $T = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is t -wise independent source $\forall 1 \leq t \leq n$.

Non trivial observation: $[n, k]_q$ MDS code $\Rightarrow$ k -wise independent source of size q^k .

Exercise: Construct a k -wise independent source $T \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ of $|T| \leq (2^k)^k$, i.e., using at most $k(\log_2 n + 1)$ random bits. [More details see

Exercise 3.13 & 3.14 of Essential Coding Theory]

Dual of RS-Code:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n \\ \alpha_1^2 & \alpha_2^2 & \dots & \alpha_n^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{k-1} & \alpha_2^{k-1} & \dots & \alpha_n^{k-1} \end{bmatrix} = G \text{ (generator matrix)}$$

$k \times n$

Already Discussed Approach:

$$\tilde{G} = \begin{bmatrix} G \\ \tilde{G} \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \left. \vphantom{\begin{bmatrix} G \\ \tilde{G} \end{bmatrix}} \right\} k \times n \\ \left. \vphantom{\begin{bmatrix} G \\ \tilde{G} \end{bmatrix}} \right\} n-k \times n \end{matrix} \quad \& \quad \tilde{G} \text{ is full rank.}$$

Consider

$$\tilde{G}^{-1} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} H_1 & H_2 \end{array} \right]$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{n \times k} \quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{n \times n-k}$

& we know that $G \cdot H_2 = 0$

$\Rightarrow H_2^T$ is generator matrix for $RS(k, n, 9)^\perp$

Q1: Can you describe an "easy" & "meaningful" extension: $G \mapsto \tilde{G}$?

Answer:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & & \alpha_j & \dots & \alpha_n \\ \alpha_1^2 & \alpha_2^2 & & \alpha_j^2 & \dots & \alpha_n^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{k-1} & \alpha_2^{k-1} & & \alpha_j^{k-1} & \dots & \alpha_n^{k-1} \\ \alpha_1^k & \alpha_2^k & & \alpha_j^k & \dots & \alpha_n^k \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{n-1} & \alpha_2^{n-1} & \dots & \alpha_j^{n-1} & \dots & \alpha_n^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n} = \tilde{G}$$

Question: why full rank? why meaningful?

[Explain via univariate polynomial Evaluation]. [called Vandermonde Matrix].

Question: what is $\tilde{G}^{-1}$? [use the meaningfulness of $\tilde{G}$]

Answer: W is a set of all univariate polynomials over F_q of degree $< n$.

W is a vector space.

U has two representations

Coefficient vector

Evaluation vector.

$(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})$



$$c_0 + c_1 x + \dots + c_{n-1} x^{n-1}$$

Fix n distinct points: $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$

$(c_0, \dots, c_{n-1}) \mapsto f(x) \in U$ s.t.

$$(c_0, \dots, c_{n-1}) = (f(\alpha_1), \dots, f(\alpha_n))$$

Basis: $\{1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}\}$

[Monomial basis]

Basis: ?

Lagrange Interpolation Polynomial:

$$L_1(x) = (x - \alpha_2)(x - \alpha_3) \dots (x - \alpha_n)$$

$$L_2(x) = (x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_3)(x - \alpha_4) \dots (x - \alpha_n)$$

⋮

$$L_i(x) = (x - \alpha_1) \dots (x - \alpha_{i-1})(x - \alpha_{i+1}) \dots (x - \alpha_n)$$

⋮

Observation: $\deg(L_i(x)) < n$.

2. For any $(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$,

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \frac{L_i(x)}{L_i(\alpha_i)} \quad \text{be the polynomial}$$

$$\text{s.t. } (f(\alpha_1), \dots, f(\alpha_n)) = (c_1, \dots, c_n).$$

[Explain & explain why it is a basis].

$\left\{ \frac{L_1(x)}{L_1(\alpha_1)}, \frac{L_2(x)}{L_2(\alpha_2)}, \dots, \frac{L_n(x)}{L_n(\alpha_n)} \right\}$: another basis

for U s.t. given evaluation vector we get corresponding polynomial; let's call it Lagrange basis.

Meaningfulness of Vandermonde matrix: Try to view it as basis transformation; monomial basis $\rightarrow$

Lagrange basis.

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{L_1(x)}{L_1(\alpha_1)} \quad \frac{L_2(x)}{L_2(\alpha_2)} \quad \dots \quad \frac{L_n(x)}{L_n(\alpha_n)} \\ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ x \\ x^2 \\ \vdots \\ x^{n-1} \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n \\ \alpha_1^2 & \alpha_2^2 & \dots & \alpha_n^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{n-1} & \alpha_2^{n-1} & \dots & \alpha_n^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \end{array} = \tilde{A}, \text{ i.e. } i^{\text{th}} \text{ row becomes coefficients when we write } x^i \text{ as the linear combination of Lagrange basis.}$$

Given coefficient vector $\bar{c} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, $\bar{c} \cdot \tilde{A}$ gives the evaluation vector of the corresponding polynomial.
 $\Rightarrow \tilde{A}^{-1}$ should do the inverse operation of it, i.e.,
 Given evaluation vector $\bar{c}$ of a polynomial in U ,
 $\bar{c} \cdot \tilde{A}^{-1}$ should produce the coefficient vector.

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \frac{L_1(x)}{L_1(\alpha_1)} & 1 & x & \dots & x^j & \dots & x^{n-1} \\ \frac{L_2(x)}{L_1(\alpha_2)} & & & & \vdots & & \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots & & \\ \frac{L_i(x)}{L_i(\alpha_i)} & - & - & \dots & \text{Coeff}_{x^j} \left(\frac{L_i(x)}{L_i(\alpha_i)} \right) & & \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots & & \\ \frac{L_n(x)}{L_n(\alpha_n)} & & & & & & \end{bmatrix} = \tilde{G}^{-1}$$

$\Rightarrow$ transpose of the submatrix of $\tilde{G}^{-1}$ formed by columns indexed by $x^k, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^{n-1}$ forms a parity matrix of $RS(E, k, q)$ with $E = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$.

More explicit Description:

$$H : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & 1 & & & & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & & \alpha_2 & & & & \alpha_n \\ \alpha_1^2 & & \alpha_2^2 & & & & \alpha_n^2 \\ \vdots & \cdot L_1(\alpha_1) & \vdots \cdot L_2(\alpha_2) & \dots & \vdots \cdot L_n(\alpha_n) & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{n-k-1} & & \alpha_2^{n-k-1} & & & & \alpha_n^{n-k-1} \end{bmatrix}_{(n-k) \times n}$$

is a parity matrix for $RS(E, k, q)$ with $E = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ r.e.

$$RS(E, k, q)^\perp = \left\{ (c_1 \cdot L_1(\alpha_1), c_2 \cdot L_2(\alpha_2), \dots, c_n \cdot L_n(\alpha_n)) \mid (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in RS(E, n-k, q) \right\}.$$

[For Proof, see Problem sheet]

For $E = \mathbb{F}_q$:

$$RS(E, k, q)^\perp = RS(E, n-k, q) \quad [\text{Exercise}]$$

For $E = \mathbb{F}_q^* = \{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{q-2}\}$

$$RS(E, k, q)^\perp = \left\{ (p(1), p(\alpha), p(\alpha^2), \dots, p(\alpha^{q-2})) \mid p(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \right. \\ \left. \text{with } \deg(p(x)) \leq n-k \text{ \& } x \mid p(x) \right\}.$$

[Exercise].