

# Assignment 1

## Probability Theory (M. Math.)

The assignment is due on **19/08/25**. In case of queries/comments, email me.

Recall that  $(X_i : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) \rightarrow (E, \mathcal{E}))_i$  are i.i.d. with law  $\mu$  if  $X_i$  are independent and  $(X_i)_* \mathbb{P} = \mu$ .

1. Suppose  $X$  is an action with outcomes measured in  $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$ . Show that the action of repeating  $X$   $k$ -times, each time independently of the others, is modeled by the coordinate functions in  $(E^k, \otimes_{i=1}^k \mathcal{E}, \otimes_{i=1}^k \mu)$ , that is, the coordinate functions are i.i.d. with law  $\mu$ . For any finite subset  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ , define  $\mu_S := \otimes_S \mu$ . Show that for  $S' \subset S$ , and the projection  $\pi_{S \rightarrow S'} : E^S \rightarrow E^{S'}$ ,  $(\pi_{S \rightarrow S'})_* \mu_S = \mu_{S'}$ .
2. Let  $(X_i : (\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\})_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be the process modeling the rolling of a “fair” die (with six faces, with faces numbered “1” to “6”) infinitely many times, with the assumption that the  $i$ -th roll is independent of the  $j$ -th, for any  $i \neq j$ .
  - (a) What is the probability that the die-rolls produce the face numbered “1” hundred times in a row (that is, consecutively)?
  - (b) Define  $\mathcal{F}_i$  to be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the RVs  $X_1, \dots, X_i$ . Define the function  $\tau_1 : \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  as

$$\tau_1(\omega) := \min\{i \geq 1 \mid X_i(\omega) = 1\}.$$

Show that  $\{\tau_1 \leq n\}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable.

- (c) Show that  $\tau_1$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable.
- (d) Compute the probability that  $\tau_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ .
- (e) What is the expected time when “1” first appears during a sequence of die-rolls (that is, what is the expectation of  $\tau_1$ )?
- (f) Define inductively the  $k$ -th time that “1” appears;

$$\tau_1^{(k)}(\omega) := \min\{n > \tau_1^{(k-1)}(\omega) \mid X_n(\omega) = 1\},$$

where  $\tau_1^{(1)} := \tau_1$ . Determine the limit RV of  $\tau_1^{(n)}/n$  if it converges in probability.

- (g) Define  $\tau_e(\omega) = \min\{i \geq 1 \mid X_i(\omega) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}$ . Show that  $\omega \mapsto X_{\tau_e(\omega)}(\omega)$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable.

3. Let  $(X_i)_i$  be  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued i.i.d. RVs with law  $\mu$ . Define  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ , for each  $n$ . Define  $\mathcal{S}_i$  to be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the R.V.s  $S_1, \dots, S_i$  (is it the same as  $\mathcal{F}_i$ ?). Show that for any  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}[\{S_{n+1} \in A\} | \mathcal{S}_n](\omega) = \mathbb{P}[\{S_{n+1} \in A\} | \sigma(S_n)](\omega),$$

with the relevant conditional probabilities defined as in the lecture of 8/8/25.

4. Let  $(X)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be the process in  $\{H, T\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  associated to (countably) infinitely many independent tosses of a fair coin. For  $\omega \in \{H, T\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , define  $C_1(\omega) := 1/4$  if  $X_1(\omega) = H$  and  $C_1(\omega) := 3/4$  otherwise. Define  $C_i$  inductively as follows. Suppose  $C_j$  has been defined for  $1 \leq j < i$ . Suppose  $C_{i-1}(\omega)$  is the midpoint of the interval  $[k2^{-(i-1)}, (k+1)2^{-(i-1)}]$ , for  $0 \leq k \leq 2^{i-1}$ , in the “dyadic decomposition” of  $[0, 1]$  into intervals of length  $2^{-(i-1)}$ . Then  $C_i(\omega) := k2^{-(i-1)} + 2^{-i}$  if  $X_i(\omega) = H$  and  $C_i(\omega) := k2^{-(i-1)} + 3 \cdot 2^{-i}$  otherwise. Show that  $C_{\infty} := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} C_i$  exists almost surely (even surely?) and the law of  $C_{\infty}$  is given by the Lebesgue measure in  $[0, 1]$ .
5. (St. Petersburg game). Construct an  $\mathbb{N}$ -valued i.i.d. process  $(X_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ , with law  $\mu$  for  $X_i$ , where  $\mu(\{2^j\}) = 2^{-j}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  set  $b_n := 2^{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil + \lceil \log_2 \log_2 n \rceil}$  (the symbol denotes the “smallest integer greater than” function).

- (a) Show that the hypothesis for the weak law for triangular arrays is satisfied for  $((\bar{X}_{n,k})_{k=1}^n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , where  $\bar{X}_{n,k} = X_k \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{|X_k| \leq b_n\}}$ .
- (b) Apply the result to conclude  $\frac{S_n}{n \log n} \rightarrow 1$  in  $\mathbb{P}$ .

6. (Borel-Cantelli).

- (a) Show that if  $A_n \subset (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , for  $n \geq 1$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) < \infty$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}[\{\omega \mid \omega \in A_n, \text{ for infinitely many } n\}] = 0.$$

(Check that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{A_n}$  is in  $L_1(\mathbb{P})$ , to conclude it is almost surely finite.)

- (b) (Well-approximable reals). Show that set of reals  $x$  such that there is  $\epsilon > 0$ , for which

$$|x - pq^{-1}| \leq q^{-(2+\epsilon)},$$

for infinitely many (reduced) rationals  $pq^{-1}$  has Lebesgue measure zero. (Note that it suffices to restrict to  $[0, 1]$ . Apply the statement above.)

7. (Convergence in probability vs. almost sure convergence).

- (a) Show that almost sure/everywhere convergence implies convergence in probability.
- (b) Give an example of a sequence of independent RVs that converge in probability but not almost surely. (For the interested, can you find an example of such a sequence that is i.i.d.?)

- (c) Show that if a sequence  $(X_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  of i.i.d. random variables converge in probability to a random variable  $X$ , then one can find a subsequence which converges to  $X$  almost surely.  
 (Construct a subsequence  $(i_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $\mathbb{P}[\{|X_{i_k} - X| > 2^{-k}\}] \leq 2^{-k}$ ; apply Borel-Cantelli to  $A_k := \{|X_{i_k} - X| > 2^{-k}\}$ .)

8. (Layer-cake decomposition). Show that:

- (a) for  $H(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x h(t) dt$ , with  $h \geq 0$  Lebesgue-summable, and  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued  $f$  measurable in the measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} H \circ f du = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t) \mu(\{f > t\}) dt,$$

- (b) for  $f \geq 0$  measurable in a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , and  $p \geq 1$ , show that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{p-1} \mathbb{P}[\{f > k+1\}] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[f^p]}{p} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)^{p-1} \mathbb{P}[\{f > k\}].$$